

Azure Migrate Roadmap

Migrating and Modernizing Legacy Applications to the Microsoft Cloud

Executive Summary

Modernizing legacy infrastructure—such as Windows Server 2008 R2, SQL Server 2008, and monolithic .NET Framework 4.5 applications—is now essential for enterprise survival, as organizations face mounting risks from end-of-support security vulnerabilities, limited integration with modern analytics, and rising on-premises maintenance costs.

Migrating these workloads to Microsoft Azure enables a shift from capital-intensive, maintenance-heavy operations to an agile, service-oriented model.

This report provides a practical strategy and roadmap for such migrations, adapting the Microsoft Cloud Adoption Framework (CAF) to the specific challenges of legacy environments, where the approach must carefully balance immediate stabilization with long-term modernization goals.



| | |
|--|-----------|
| Strategic Implementation Roadmap and Technical Architecture for Migrating Legacy Applications to Microsoft Azure..... | 3 |
| Strategic Framework and Business Justification..... | 4 |
| The Cloud Adoption Framework (CAF) as a Governance Model..... | 4 |
| Rationalizing the Digital Estate: The 6 Rs of Migration..... | 4 |
| Financial Strategy: The FinOps Imperative..... | 5 |
| Discovery and Assessment: Illuminating the Legacy Estate..... | 6 |
| The Azure Migrate Ecosystem..... | 6 |
| Dependency Visualization and Service Mapping..... | 7 |
| Database Assessment with DMA..... | 7 |
| Architectural Design: The Azure Landing Zone..... | 7 |
| Network Topology: Hub-and-Spoke..... | 8 |
| Hybrid Connectivity: The Case for ExpressRoute..... | 8 |
| Identity Management Strategy..... | 9 |
| Migration Strategy: Compute and Infrastructure..... | 9 |
| The Rehost Workflow (Lift and Shift)..... | 9 |
| Handling Legacy OS Nuances (Windows Server 2008 R2)..... | 10 |
| Optimizing Latency: Proximity Placement Groups..... | 10 |
| Migration Strategy: Database Modernization..... | 11 |
| The Target: Azure SQL Managed Instance (SQL MI)..... | 11 |
| The Migration Mechanism: Database Migration Service (DMS)..... | 11 |
| Ensuring Compatibility: The "100" Level..... | 12 |
| Migration Strategy: Application Modernization (.NET)..... | 12 |
| Assessing for PaaS Readiness..... | 12 |
| Remediation Patterns..... | 13 |
| Decoupling Session State..... | 13 |
| Governance and Operational Excellence..... | 13 |
| Monitoring: The Transition to Azure Monitor Agent (AMA)..... | 14 |
| Patch Management: Azure Update Manager..... | 14 |
| Policy-Driven Governance..... | 14 |
| Implementation Roadmap and Timeline..... | 15 |
| Phase 1: Foundation and Discovery (Weeks 1-6)..... | 15 |
| Phase 2: Pilot Migration (Weeks 7-10)..... | 15 |
| Phase 3: Core Migration Waves (Months 3-9)..... | 16 |
| Phase 4: Optimization and Modernization (Months 10+)..... | 16 |
| Conclusion..... | 16 |

Strategic Implementation Roadmap and Technical Architecture for Migrating Legacy Applications to Microsoft Azure

In the contemporary enterprise landscape, the modernization of legacy infrastructure is no longer a discretionary optimization but a fundamental imperative for survival.

Organizations operating on aging platforms—specifically Windows Server 2008 R2, SQL Server 2008, and monolithic .NET Framework 4.5 applications—face a converging storm of risks: the cessation of security support, the inability to integrate with modern data analytics, and the escalating costs of maintaining on-premises data centers.

The migration of these workloads to Microsoft Azure represents a pivotal transformation, shifting the IT operating model from a capital-intensive, maintenance-heavy posture to an agile, service-oriented architecture.

This comprehensive research report outlines a rigorous implementation strategy and roadmap for migrating legacy applications to Microsoft Azure. Unlike greenfield development, legacy migration requires a nuanced approach that balances the need for immediate stabilization against the desire for modernization. The strategy articulated herein is grounded in the Microsoft Cloud Adoption Framework (CAF), adapting its prescriptive phases to the unique constraints of legacy environments.

The roadmap prioritizes a "Stabilize, Then Modernize" approach. The immediate phase focuses on the secure evacuation of on-premises data centers using Rehost (Lift and Shift) and Replatform (Managed Database) strategies to mitigate End-of-Support (EOS) risks through Azure-hosted Extended Security Updates (ESU). Subsequent phases leverage this stabilized cloud footing to execute deeper modernization, refactoring monolithic codebases into Azure App Services and decoupling rigid architectures using cloud-native patterns.

Key strategic outcomes targeted by this roadmap include:

- Security Hardening: The immediate neutralization of unpatched vulnerabilities in legacy OS versions through network isolation and Azure-native threat protection.
- Operational Resilience: The transition from fragile, manual disaster recovery processes to automated, geo-redundant solutions via Azure Site Recovery.

- Cost Optimization: The realization of up to 80% reduction in run costs through the strategic application of Azure Hybrid Benefit and Reserved Instances, converting "sunk cost" legacy licenses into cloud currency.
- Future-Readiness: The unlocking of data siloed in legacy SQL instances, making it accessible to Azure Synapse and AI services for advanced analytics.

Strategic Framework and Business Justification

The Cloud Adoption Framework (CAF) as a Governance Model

The complexity of migrating legacy estates—often characterized by accumulated technical debt, undocumented dependencies, and "tribal knowledge"—demands a structured governance model. The Microsoft Cloud Adoption Framework (CAF) serves as the scaffolding for this roadmap, ensuring that technical execution remains tightly coupled with business objectives.

The CAF segments the journey into iterative phases: Strategy, Plan, Ready, Adopt, Govern, and Manage. For legacy migrations, the Strategy phase is critical in defining the "trigger" for migration. Common triggers include data center lease expirations, urgent hardware refresh cycles, or the immediate security threat of unsupported software. This report assumes a composite motivation: the urgent need to exit an aging data center while simultaneously mitigating the security risks of EOS software.

Rationalizing the Digital Estate: The 6 Rs of Migration

A core component of the strategic phase is the rationalization of the digital estate. Not every application warrants the same treatment. We employ the "6 Rs" framework to categorize workloads based on their technical state and business value.

Rehost (Lift and Shift):

This strategy involves moving the application and its underlying operating system to

Azure Infrastructure-as-a-Service (IaaS) with no code changes. It is the primary vehicle for "Datacenter Exit" scenarios. For legacy Windows Server 2008 R2 workload, Rehosting is often the only viable short-term option to maintain application stability while gaining access to free Extended Security Updates (ESU) in Azure. This strategy minimizes migration risk but retains the operational burden of OS management.

Replatform (Lift and Tinker):

Replatforming offers a "sweet spot" for legacy modernization. It involves moving the application to a managed Platform-as-a-Service (PaaS) environment with minimal code changes. The prime candidate for this is the database layer; migrating SQL Server on-premises to Azure SQL Managed Instance (SQL MI) removes the need to patch the OS or manage backups, while retaining near-100% compatibility with the legacy SQL engine. Similarly, moving ASP.NET web applications to Azure App Service (potentially via Windows Containers) eliminates IIS management overhead.

Refactor (Repackage):

Refactoring requires altering the application code to better fit the cloud model. For monolithic.NET applications, this might involve stripping out session state handling from the web server memory and offloading it to Azure Redis Cache, thereby enabling the application to scale horizontally across multiple instances. This strategy incurs higher initial effort but yields significant long-term agility and performance benefits.

Retire and Replace:

An exhaustive discovery process often reveals "Zombie" servers—systems that are running but no longer serve business value. These must be Retired. Conversely, commoditized workloads like email (Exchange) or document management (SharePoint) should be Replaced with SaaS equivalents like Microsoft 365, rather than migrated to Azure IaaS.

Financial Strategy: The FinOps Imperative

Migrating legacy applications presents a unique financial challenge: the Cost Inversion Paradox. On-premises, an idle legacy server is perceived as "free" because the capital expenditure was made years ago. In the cloud, an idle server incurs the same hourly cost as a productive one. Therefore, the migration strategy must include a rigorous

financial operations (FinOps) component.

The roadmap leverages two potent financial levers to neutralize the "cloud premium":

1. Azure Hybrid Benefit (AHB): This mechanism allows the organization to apply existing on-premises Windows Server and SQL Server licenses (with Software Assurance) to Azure resources. This effectively removes the cost of the software from the hourly cloud rate, resulting in savings of up to 40%.
2. Reserved Instances (RI): Legacy applications are typically "always-on" and do not benefit from the auto-scaling elasticity of cloud-native apps. By committing to a 1-year or 3-year reservation for the underlying compute capacity, the organization can secure discounts of up to 72% compared to Pay-As-You-Go pricing.

Discovery and Assessment: Illuminating the Legacy Estate

The success of a migration is mathematically proportional to the accuracy of the discovery phase. Legacy environments are often fraught with "unknown unknowns"—undocumented integrations, hard-coded IP addresses, and forgotten scheduled tasks. A superficial inventory will lead to migration failures. This roadmap mandates a deep, data-driven assessment phase using automated tooling.

The Azure Migrate Ecosystem

Azure Migrate serves as the central hub for this phase. It operates by deploying a lightweight appliance—a dedicated Windows Server VM—onto the on-premises virtualization hosts (VMware vSphere or Hyper-V). This appliance acts as a passive listener, collecting metadata about the environment without requiring agents to be installed on every target server.

Continuous Discovery and Performance Profiling:

Unlike a static spreadsheet inventory, the Azure Migrate appliance performs continuous discovery. It captures granular performance counters—CPU utilization, memory churn,

disk IOPS, and network throughput—over a period of weeks. This longitudinal data is critical for Right-Sizing. Legacy on-premises VMs are notoriously over-provisioned (e.g., 8 vCPUs assigned but only 5% utilized). Azure Migrate analyzes this data to recommend an Azure VM SKU that matches actual demand rather than allocated capacity, often resulting in immediate cost reduction upon migration.

Dependency Visualization and Service Mapping

The most significant risk in migrating legacy monolithic applications is breaking dependencies. A legacy application often consists of a web front-end, a processing middle-tier, a database backend, and potentially several peripheral integrations (e.g., a file server for PDF generation, an SMTP relay for emails). If these components are not migrated together, latency or firewall blocks can cause the application to fail.

To mitigate this, we employ the Service Map feature (now integrated into Azure Monitor/Azure Migrate). By installing the dependency agent on critical servers, the tool builds a real-time topology map of TCP connections. It visualizes exactly which processes are communicating with which IP addresses on which ports. This enables the creation of high-fidelity "Move Groups"—logical collections of servers that must be migrated simultaneously to preserve application integrity.

Database Assessment with DMA

For the data layer, the Data Migration Assistant (DMA) provides a specialized deep-dive assessment. It scans on-premises SQL Server instances to identify "migration blockers"—features used in the legacy database that might not be supported in the target Azure version. For example, it will flag the use of deprecated stored procedures, cross-database queries (which are supported in Managed Instance but not Single Database), or specific trace flags. The DMA produces a comprehensive report detailing compatibility issues and offering remediation steps, allowing the engineering team to fix schema issues before the migration window opens.

Architectural Design: The Azure Landing

Zone

Before a single byte of data is migrated, the destination environment—the Azure Landing Zone—must be architected and deployed. This infrastructure foundation provides the plumbing for networking, identity, security, and governance. For legacy migrations, we adopt the Enterprise-Scale Hub-and-Spoke network topology, which balances isolation with centralized management.

Network Topology: Hub-and-Spoke

The Hub-and-Spoke model prevents the "sprawl" of unmanaged resources.

- The Hub VNet: This is the central point of connectivity. It hosts shared services consumed by all workloads, including the ExpressRoute Gateway for on-premises connectivity, the Azure Firewall for traffic inspection, and shared Identity servers (Domain Controllers).
- The Spoke VNets: These host the actual application workloads. Each legacy environment (e.g., Production, UAT, Dev) is placed in its own Spoke VNet.
- VNet Peering: Spokes are peered to the Hub, allowing workloads to access the shared services. Crucially, spokes are not peered with each other by default, providing a strong isolation boundary that limits the "blast radius" of a security breach.

Hybrid Connectivity: The Case for ExpressRoute

For legacy applications, network latency and stability are paramount. Many older applications were designed for Local Area Networks (LANs) with sub-millisecond latency and are "chatty"—making hundreds of sequential database calls to render a single screen.

ExpressRoute vs. VPN:

While a VPN Gateway is cheaper and faster to deploy, it operates over the public internet, subjecting traffic to unpredictable latency and jitter. For mission-critical legacy workloads, ExpressRoute is the recommended connectivity standard. It provides a private, dedicated circuit between the on-premises datacenter and Azure, with SLAs up to 99.95% availability.

ExpressRoute FastPath:

To further mitigate latency for data-intensive applications, we enable ExpressRoute FastPath. In a standard configuration, traffic flows from the ExpressRoute circuit to the Gateway, and then to the VM. FastPath allows traffic to bypass the Gateway and flow directly to the VM in the VNet, reducing the number of network hops and improving data path performance significantly.

Identity Management Strategy

Identity is the new security perimeter. Most legacy applications rely on Windows Authentication (Kerberos/NTLM) and are tightly coupled to Active Directory Domain Services (AD DS).

- Hybrid Identity Sync: We implement Microsoft Entra Connect to synchronize on-premises AD identities to Microsoft Entra ID (formerly Azure AD). This ensures that users can access both legacy apps (via Kerberos) and modern cloud apps (via OIDC/SAML) with a single identity.
- Cloud Domain Controllers: To ensure authentication performance, we extend the on-premises Active Directory forest into Azure by deploying Read-Write Domain Controllers (RWDCs) in the Hub VNet. This prevents authentication traffic from traversing the WAN link back to on-premises, which could introduce login delays or failures if the link is congested.

Migration Strategy: Compute and Infrastructure

The migration of compute resources—primarily Windows Server VMs—is the heavy lifting of the project. For legacy systems where source code is lost or the installation media is unavailable, the Rehost strategy using Azure Site Recovery (ASR) is the only viable path.

The Rehost Workflow (Lift and Shift)

The Azure Migrate: Server Migration tool orchestrates this process. It functions as a replication engine, mirroring the on-premises server's disk state to Azure.

1. Replication Enablement: The Mobility Service agent is installed on the source VM. It intercepts disk writes and forwards them to a cache storage account in Azure.
2. Initial Seeding: The entire contents of the disk are replicated. This can take days for large servers, but does not impact the running application.
3. Delta Sync: Once seeded, the tool continuously replicates incremental changes. The Recovery Point Objective (RPO) is typically in the range of seconds to minutes.
4. Test Migration: This is a critical risk mitigation step. The tool creates a "Test" VM in a sandboxed Azure VNet using the replicated data. This allows the engineering team to boot the server in Azure, verify application functionality, and check performance without disrupting the live on-premises production system.

Handling Legacy OS Nuances (Windows Server 2008 R2)

Migrating Windows Server 2008 R2 presents specific technical challenges.

- Agent Pre-requisites: The Azure VM Agent—required for extensions like Backup and Monitoring—requires specific SHA-2 code signing support patches (KB4474419) to be installed on the source OS before migration.
- 32-bit vs. 64-bit: Azure supports 32-bit Windows operating systems, but many modern VM families (like the D_v3 series) are 64-bit only. The target VM size must be carefully selected to support legacy architectures.
- UEFI Conversion: Legacy on-prem VMs are often Generation 1 (BIOS). Modern Azure security features like Trusted Launch require Generation 2 (UEFI). Azure Migrate provides an automated conversion capability during the migration process, transforming the boot partition from MBR to GPT seamlessly.

Optimizing Latency: Proximity Placement Groups

Multi-tier legacy applications (e.g., a Web Server talking to an App Server talking to a SQL Server) were often deployed on the same physical rack on-premises to minimize latency. In the cloud, "East US" is a massive region comprising multiple datacenters. If the Web VM lands in Datacenter A and the SQL VM lands in Datacenter B, the latency

could spike to 1-2ms, potentially causing application timeouts.

To replicate the on-premises locality, we utilize Proximity Placement Groups (PPG). A PPG is a logical grouping constraint that forces Azure to provision the designated VMs within the same physical datacenter (or even the same hardware cluster). This ensures that the network latency between the tiers remains at the absolute physical minimum, preserving the performance characteristics required by the legacy code.

Migration Strategy: Database Modernization

Data is the gravity of the enterprise. Migrating the database layer offers the highest ROI for modernization. Moving from a self-managed SQL Server VM to a managed service drastically reduces operational overhead.

The Target: Azure SQL Managed Instance (SQL MI)

For legacy SQL Server 2008/2012 migration, Azure SQL Managed Instance is the preferred target over Azure SQL Database.

- **Instance-Level Compatibility:** SQL MI provides an entire SQL instance, not just a database. This means it supports instance-level features that legacy apps rely on, such as SQL Server Agent jobs, Service Broker, CLR assemblies, and Cross-Database Queries. Migrating to the single Azure SQL Database service would require refactoring these features, adding significant risk and time.
- **VNet Injection:** Unlike SQL Database (which defaults to a public endpoint), SQL MI is deployed strictly within a private VNet subnet. This mirrors the security posture of an on-premises database server, accessible only by the application tier via private IP.

The Migration Mechanism: Database Migration Service (DMS)

To minimize downtime, we utilize the Azure Database Migration Service (DMS) in its

Premium tier, which supports online migration.

1. **Backup and Restore:** The process begins by taking a full backup of the on-premises database and restoring it to the SQL MI target (often facilitated via an Azure Storage Blob or SMB share).
2. **Log Shipping:** DMS then sets up a continuous synchronization process. It reads the transaction logs from the on-premises active database and applies them to the Azure instance in near real-time.
3. **Cutover:** When the application is ready to be moved, the on-premises system is placed in read-only mode. DMS applies the final "tail of the log," ensuring zero data loss. The application connection strings are then updated to point to the new SQL MI endpoint.

Ensuring Compatibility: The "100" Level

A common fear is that moving a 15-year-old database to a modern cloud platform will break queries due to changes in the SQL cardinality estimator. SQL MI addresses this via Compatibility Levels. We can migrate a database to the latest SQL MI version but forcefully set the compatibility level to "100" (SQL Server 2008). This instructs the database engine to use the legacy query optimizer behaviors, ensuring that performance and query plans remain consistent with the on-premises experience.

Migration Strategy: Application Modernization (.NET)

For the application tier—specifically ASP.NET Web Forms or MVC applications running on IIS—the goal is to move away from managing Windows Server VMs (Rehost) and towards the Azure App Service (Replatform).

Assessing for PaaS Readiness

Not all legacy web apps are ready for PaaS. The App Service Migration Assistant tool is used to scan the application. It looks for blockers such as:

- GAC Dependencies: Legacy apps often rely on DLLs installed in the Global Assembly Cache (GAC) of the server. App Service does not allow GAC access.
- COM+ Components: Usage of legacy COM/DCOM objects is not supported in the standard App Service sandbox.
- Local File System Usage: Apps that write user uploads to C:\Inetpub\wwwroot\uploads will fail in the cloud because the local disk is ephemeral and not shared across scale-out instances.

Remediation Patterns

- Azure Files: For local file system dependencies, we can mount an Azure Files share as a local drive letter within the App Service. This allows the legacy code to continue reading/writing files using standard I/O calls, while the data is actually stored in a durable, shared storage account.
- App Service for Containers: If the application has deep OS dependencies (like GAC or COM+), the Replatform strategy shifts to Windows Containers. We package the legacy application, along with its specific IIS configuration and dependencies, into a Docker container. This container is then deployed to Azure App Service. This provides the isolation and OS-environment the app needs, while still offering the PaaS benefits of autoscaling and managed infrastructure.

Decoupling Session State

Monolithic legacy apps often use "In-Proc" session state, storing user session data in the memory of the web server. This prevents the application from scaling out, as a user's session is tied to a single server. The modernization step involves refactoring the web.config to use the ASP.NET Session State Provider for Azure Redis Cache. This externalizes the session state to a super-fast, managed cache service. The application becomes stateless, allowing the Azure App Service to auto-scale from 1 instance to 10 instances based on traffic load, without losing user sessions.

Governance and Operational Excellence

Migrating to the cloud without upgrading operational practices is a recipe for failure. The

"Manage" phase of the CAF dictates that we must replace legacy on-premises tools with cloud-native equivalents.

Monitoring: The Transition to Azure Monitor Agent (AMA)

Legacy environments typically rely on System Center Operations Manager (SCOM) or the Microsoft Monitoring Agent (MMA). With the deprecation of the MMA (Log Analytics Agent) in August 2024, the migration roadmap must standardize on the Azure Monitor Agent (AMA).

- Legacy Support: Crucially, the AMA supports Windows Server 2008 R2 SP1 (provided ESU is enabled), ensuring that even the oldest assets in the estate can be monitored.
- Data Collection Rules (DCR): The AMA operates on DCRs, which allow for granular definition of what data to collect. We create specific DCRs to capture the Event Logs (System, Security, Application) and Performance Counters (Disk Queue Length, CPU %, Memory Available) that are relevant to the health of the legacy application.

Patch Management: Azure Update Manager

Patching is the single most critical maintenance task. Azure Update Manager provides a unified SaaS solution for patch compliance. It replaces WSUS and SCCM for the cloud estate.

- Automation: We define maintenance windows (e.g., "3rd Saturday of the month, 2 AM") and associate dynamic scopes of VMs (e.g., "All VMs tagged 'Production'").
- Hybrid Reach: Through Azure Arc, Update Manager can also orchestrate the patching of any servers that remain on-premises, providing a single pane of glass for compliance across the hybrid estate.

Policy-Driven Governance

To prevent the recurrence of the "configuration drift" that plagued the on-premises environment, we implement Azure Policy. Policies are "guardrails" that enforce rules at

the subscription level.

- Cost Control Policies: Restrict the creation of expensive resource types (e.g., prohibiting G-Series VMs) to prevent accidental budget blowouts.
- Data Sovereignty: Restrict resource deployment to specific Azure Regions (e.g., "West Europe Only") to ensure compliance with GDPR or other regulatory frameworks.
- Tagging Enforcement: Require specific tags (Cost Center, Owner, Environment) on all resources. Resources without these tags are blocked from deployment, ensuring that the FinOps team can accurately attribute 100% of the cloud spend.

Implementation Roadmap and Timeline

The migration execution is structured into a phased timeline to manage risk and resource capacity.

Phase 1: Foundation and Discovery (Weeks 1-6)

- Objective: Establish the Azure Landing Zone and map the on-premises estate.
- Key Activities:
 - Deploy Hub-and-Spoke network topology.
 - Provision ExpressRoute circuit and verify bandwidth.
 - Deploy Identity infrastructure (Entra Connect, Cloud DCs).
 - Install Azure Migrate Appliance and run continuous discovery for 30 days to capture month-end peaks.
 - Run DMA assessments on all SQL Servers.

Phase 2: Pilot Migration (Weeks 7-10)

- Objective: Validate the migration toolchain and operational processes.
- Target: Non-critical, standalone workloads (e.g., Development environments, internal tools).
- Key Activities:
 - Rehost 5-10 VMs using Azure Site Recovery.
 - Replatform 1 SQL Database to SQL MI.

- Conduct User Acceptance Testing (UAT) to verify performance and connectivity.
- Refine sizing recommendations based on Pilot performance.

Phase 3: Core Migration Waves (Months 3-9)

- Objective: Bulk migration of production workloads.
- Strategy: Group applications by "Move Groups" identified in Service Map.
- Wave 1 (Low Complexity): Simple 2-tier.NET apps, File Servers, Print Servers.
- Wave 2 (Medium Complexity): N-tier applications requiring Proximity Placement Groups; Clustered SQL Servers moving to SQL MI.
- Wave 3 (High Complexity): Mission-critical legacy transaction systems; Applications with complex external dependencies.
- Cutover Rhythm: Migrations are executed in 2-week sprints. Week 1 is for replication and test failover. Week 2 is for final sync and weekend cutover.

Phase 4: Optimization and Modernization (Months 10+)

- Objective: Reduce costs and deepen modernization.
- Key Activities:
 - Right-Sizing: Review Azure Advisor recommendations after 30 days of production data. Downsize VMs that are running under 20% utilization.
 - RI Purchase: Once sizing is stabilized, purchase 3-year Reserved Instances for the compute baseline.
 - Refactoring: Begin the project to decompose the largest monolithic apps into microservices or Azure Functions, allowing for the eventual retirement of the IaaS VMs.

Conclusion

The migration of legacy applications to Microsoft Azure is a complex but necessary evolution. It requires a departure from the "server-hugging" mentality of the past towards a service-oriented, data-driven operational model. By adopting the "Stabilize, then Modernize" strategy—anchored by the Cloud Adoption Framework and powered by sophisticated tooling like Azure Migrate and SQL Managed Instance—organizations can navigate the risks of legacy technical debt. This roadmap provides not just a path to

the cloud, but a bridge to the future, transforming aging liabilities into agile, secure, and cost-efficient assets that will drive the next decade of business innovation.

Table 1: Migration Strategy Selection Matrix

| Legacy Component | Recommended Strategy | Target Azure Service | Rationale |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Windows Server 2008 R2 | Rehost | Azure VM | Immediate access to free Extended Security Updates (ESU); lowest migration friction. |
| SQL Server 2008 / 2012 | Replatform | Azure SQL Managed Instance | Removes OS management overhead; retains full SQL surface area compatibility (Agent, CLR). |
| ASP.NET Web Forms (IIS) | Replatform | Azure App Service | Managed patching and autoscaling; utilize Windows Containers for deep dependencies. |
| File Servers | Replatform | Azure Files | Fully managed SMB shares; eliminates need for file server VMs; integrates with AD auth. |
| Active Directory | Extend | Azure VM (RWDC) | extend on-prem forest to cloud for low-latency auth; do not migrate existing DCs, build new ones. |

| | | | |
|------------------|----------|-----------------|--|
| Batch Processing | Refactor | Azure Functions | Convert scheduled tasks/scripts to serverless functions to save costs (pay per execution). |
|------------------|----------|-----------------|--|